

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FI		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/708,191	02/14/2004	Steven Clyde Hill	ARL 04-01	2190	
21364	7590 10/19/2005		EXAMINER		
	RESEARCH LABOR	DIRAMIO, JACQUELINE A			
	RL CS CC IP ER MILL RD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ADELPHI, MD 207831197			1641		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10	/708,191	HILL, STEVEN CLYDE					
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit					
		Jac	queline DiRamio	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum star- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply vieply received by the Office later than three months af- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. tutory period will app vill, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2 Since this application is in condition followed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊠ This action or allowance e	on is non-final. except for formal matters, p		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
5)	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction	e withdrawn fro							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted tion to the drawi the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance. S required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	• •				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice Notice 3) Inform	e (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F 'No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 31, drawn to an aerosol-particle analyzer, classified in class
 422, subclass 83 for example.
- II. Claim 32, drawn to an aerosol-particle analyzer, classified in class 422, subclass 83 for example.
- III. Claim 33, drawn to an aerosol-particle analyzer, classified in class 422, subclass 83 for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are independent and patentably distinct. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects.

Group I is an aerosol-particle analyzer requiring an electrodynamic levitator, which is not required by the analyzer of Group II. Group I further requires a PDCS CDAL output and a separate droplet analysis subsystem (DAS), which are not required by the analyzers of Groups II or III.

Group II is an aerosol-particle analyzer requiring a combined particle-dropletcollision and analysis subsystem (PDCAS), which is not required by the analyzer of Art Unit: 1641

Group I. Further, Group II requires that the PDCAS consists of a linear quadrupole (LQ), which holds the CDAL and particles near the LQ axis as they are drawn upward through the LQ by the flow of the gas, and while the particles flow past the CDAL because the CDAL is heavier, so that the particles can combine with the CDAL so that analyte in the CDAL can be measured, which is not required by the analyzers of Groups I or III.

Group III is an aerosol-particle analyzer requiring a combined particle-droplet-collision and analysis subsystem (PDCAS), which is not required by the analyzer of Group I. Further, Group III requires that the PDCAS consists of an electrodynamic levitator, which is not required by the analyzer of Group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for one group is not required for another, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Stephen Bloor on October 3, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,191

Art Unit: 1641

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jacqueline DiRamio whose telephone number is 571-

272-8785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jackie DiRamio

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

10/14/05

Page 4